Will Desalination Resolve the Israeli-Arab Water Conflict? And if not, why?

Eran Feitelson



Background

- Most of Israel's water is derived from shared resources:
 - Mountain aquifers (mainly western and NE)
 - Jordan River
- Israel has exploited the W and NE Mtn aquifer fully before 1967
- There is a wide discrepancy in water use between Israel and its neighbors (Palstinians and Jordanians)
- Palestinians raise claims to almost half of Israel's freshwater resources
- Jordan contends with increasing water stress, having absorbed both Syrian and Iraqi refugees

The Zero-Sum Game View ('water wars')

- Limited Water Resources extreme water scarcity
- Increasing Demand:
 - Due to population growth
 - Due to increasing demand per capita
- Inequitable division of existing supplies
- Deteriorating state of existing water resources
- Increasing frequency of multi-year droughts due to climate change

However, the Zero-sum Game View is Outdated

- Better use of existing water resources conservation (decoupling growth and agriculture from water)
- Joint concerns both sides share same aquifers (storages) and hence share concerns over quality
- Virtual water all parties are not dependent on local food production
- Wastewater recycling re-use of existing water resources
- It is possible to augment the total amount of water – most readily through desalination

The Israeli Desal Plan

Built (587 MCM): Ashkelon (120MCM) Palmachim (90 MCM) Hadera (127 MCM) Soreq (150 MCM) Ashdod (100 MCM) > Planned: Palestinian Site Site in western Galilee Long-term (to 750 MCM)



The Israel Water Supply System (1995)

50 km

Desal as a regional solution

- All parties agree that desal is necessary at some stage
- Desal costs are declining and can decline more
- Desal is insensitive to climate change and weather
- With the advent of desal there is more wastewater available (and sweeter)
- Agriculture in Israel is shifting to wastewater (except northern Jordan basin):
 - Cheaper
 - More reliable than freshwater
 - Supply increasing
 - Has nutrients

So, what is there to disagree about?

Palestinian position:

- Israel will desalinate
- Palestinians will get most of mtn aquifers and 200 MCM from Jordan River

Israeli position:

Israel will continue to use W and NE aquifers
Desal for Pals in WB in Hadera and in Gaza
Jordanian approach:
Red-Dead Canal with desal for Amman

What Stands Behind these Positions?

> Power:

- Desal changes basic flows reversing upstreamdownstream relations
- Jordan and Pals do not want to be downstream on desal flows
- Storage:
 - Central in arid and semi-arid regions
 - Israel does not want to lose storage, which will imply additional inefficient desal
- Cost:
 - Who should pay for the additional water

The More Fundamental Questions

Is 'produced' water a substitute for natural fresh water?

- Not covered by international law that pertains only to freshwater courses
- Desal function of investments bought and sold
- If a substitute disincentive to desalinate
- The role of storage capacity
 - Critical for flexibility in operation
 - Importance of protection of capacity
- > What is at stake?
 - Rights vs needs

Rights or Needs

 Rights – Inflexible, compensation
 In practice agreements are about needs – Johnston as an example
 Needs – what are they?

(cooperative) Study on Needs

Idea:

- bottom-up rather than top-down
- How to supply rather than allocation of existing water
- Definition of needs:
 - Domestic (not basic need, relate to 'right to water')
 - Cultural
 - Environmental
 - Social (peripheral agriculture)
- Scenarios of the ability to supply needs

Main insights from water needs study (Israel & Pals. Only)
 Can differentiate between need and demand

- Differentiation has implications for financing – whether should be subsidized
- There is sufficient water for most needs (except social) except in Gaza and under extreme climate change + massive return of refugees scenarios

Desalination merits subsidization only for Gaza – and can be built there

What about Jordan

- > Acute water stress:
 - do not have 24/7 even in Amman
 - Large number of refugees, and rapid population growth raises needs
- Disi fossile aquifer water is problematic
- Red-Dead is not a real solution
 - Cost
 - Implications for Dead Sea
- Do not want to be seen as dependent on Israel

Can Desal relieve the Jordanian water stress?

- Israel plans conveying desal water to Sea of Galilee
- If more water is available in Jordan basin Jordan's water share can conceivably be increased
- Existing precedents:
 - Israeli-Jordanian Peace Treaty
 - Desal water from Aqaba in return for freshwater from river

Israel has a strategic interest in Jordan's stability

Cost sharing?

Conclusions

- Water should be differentiated according to source and use
- Desalinated seawater is not part of water under contention – it is an industrial product and thus should be bought, not allocated
- Storage capacity is important and should be managed as such – joint management

Attempts merely to allocate existing resources are useless, and exacerbate conflict and miss main issues.

Conclusions

- Desalination opens positive sum game and thus is important, but allocation of cost is critical
- By mixing desalinated seawater with Jordan River water, it may help alleviate the worst crisis – in Jordan
- Desalination is not a panacea as it does not address the fundamental underlying issues and questions:
 - Balancing of power and distrust
 - How water is viewed and portrayed
 - What is water a resource? a product? A need? A right (and of whom the person or nation)? A commodity?

Personal View

Water should be differentiated

- Palestinians should get needs from natural sources
- Storages under WB should be managed jointly
- > Alleviating shortages in Jordan is critical
- Desalination can contribute to resolving water issues, if underlying issues are addressed – it is not a panacea
- Most important: water is basis for cooperation and confidence building