Water is an increasingly scarce resource and is essential for Arizona’s future. With Arizona’s population growth and continued drought, citizens and water managers have been taking a closer look at water supplies in the state. Municipal, industrial, and agricultural water users are well-represented demand sectors, but water supplies and management to benefit the environment are not often considered. This bulletin explains the water demands of the environment in the Colorado River Region, an area including Big Sandy, Bill Williams, Gila Bend, Hualapai, Lake Havasu, Lake Mohave, Lower Gila, Parker, Sacramento and Yuma groundwater basins as well as nine other basins.

Environmental Flows and Water Demands: Colorado River Region

This Colorado River Region bulletin also introduces information essential for considering environmental water demands in discussions about water management. Environmental water demands (or environmental flow) refers to how much water a freshwater ecosystem needs to sustain itself. Arizona’s native animals and plants are dependent on dynamic flows, which are commonly described according to five elements: magnitude, duration, frequency, timing and rate of change. For example, seasonal flood events (e.g. timing) and constant flows (e.g. duration) cue important biological events, like reproduction. The five elements of environmental flows are displayed in Figure 1 through a hydrograph of the San Pedro River’s flows over the course of a year.

To consider the environment alongside other water sectors, we must first study the water demands of ecosystems. In Figure 2 the streams where studies have quantified the current amount of streamflow that supports the environment (white lines) and environmental water demands (black lines) are displayed in relation to key surface water resources. This region contains perennial (those that flow year-round) and intermittent (those that flow only part of the year) streams, riparian areas, and many major springs.
Humans have an interconnected and dependent relationship with the environment. Nature provides recreation opportunities, economic benefits, and water supplies to sustain our communities. For example, more than $1.7 billion in state revenue is generated from wildlife-based recreation activities (Southwick Associates Inc., 2003).

How water is used in the Colorado River Region is shown in Figure 3 by comparing the relative scale of human water demands by sector to existing minimum, median, and maximum flows available in the environment. The total size of the pie chart of human demands (at right) reflects the 2.9 million acre-feet annually withdrawn by all sectors (municipal, industrial, and agricultural) by source in the region. Median annual flows for the gaged rivers in the region are about 2.5 times the amount used by all human demand sectors, while maximum flood flows are almost 7 times greater. Although human and environmental demands are not always mutually exclusive, some streams in the region no longer contain perennial flows because of human water uses.

Figure 4 shows the Colorado River Region’s median streamflow as a single “stream” and how it interacts with groundwater and human demands. Flows into the environment are represented by blue arrows, while outflows to human and environmental demands are marked by green arrows. Note that all human sectors return some water to the environment after use. Also, water traveling through a river to farming or domestic uses downstream can support aquatic and riparian (streamside) ecosystems along the way. These connections between environmental and human demands can create opportunities for water management that is mutually beneficial.

Data Sources: ADWR 2010 (streamflow as measured by stream flow gages), WRDC 2011 (human demand)
In the Colorado River Region slightly more stream reaches have intermittent flow (53%) than perennial flow (47%). However, only 1% of all intermittent stream reaches in this region have been studied, as compared with 35% of all perennial stream reaches (see Table 1a). There are 18 known studies in this region that characterize some aspect of environmental water demands (12 quantitative and 6 qualitative). Studies in this region provide information on the flow velocity, water quality, and depth to groundwater needed to support native species. Thirteen of the 16 studies include the Bill Williams River.

Table 1b categorizes available information for select streams in the region by the elements of flow that have been studied. Eleven of the 12 quantitative studies in this region examined multiple species, and 4 of them quantified both environmental flow demands and ecological responses to flow. Most of the studies in the region focus on the demands of a few riparian species and do not address the flow demands and responses for the whole ecosystem.

Two studies in this region, on the Bill Williams River, describe the flow volumes needed to maintain riparian ecosystem function (Hautzinger et al, 2006 and BWRC Technical Committee, 1994). Hautzinger et al (2006) provides unified flow and baseflow prescriptions for the Bill Williams River, integrated from flow needs developed independently for aquatic, riparian bird and riparian non-bird species. As of 2012, this was the only known study in Arizona to provide flow prescriptions for a range of aquatic and riparian species in terms of all five components of flow: magnitude, duration, frequency, timing and rate of change.

Official designations by the state and/or federal government are made to protect stream reaches with high environmental values. These designations include Wild and Scenic Rivers, Instream Flow Permits and Applications, Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Unique Waters, Endangered Species Act Critical Habitat, and Federal Conservation Lands such as National Forest Wilderness or National Parks. A few stream reaches, such as on the Big Sandy River, have multiple designations (see Figure 3 for the number of designations on stream reaches in this region). Having many designations on one reach can be an indication of an area with significant environmental resources. Different designations provide different types of protections for environmental flows, but having three designations does not necessarily mean the reach is better protected than a reach with one designation.
Statewide, ecosystem-level flow requirements remain poorly understood. Small scale studies that prescribe flows for a single reach exist for some areas, but cannot be applied across basins or regions. Two areas of agreement have emerged from studies done across the state: (1) riparian areas need both access to sufficient groundwater and carefully-timed flood flows to maintain water levels for established plants and for new plant growth; and (2) change to any element of flow can impact Arizona’s aquatic and riparian ecosystems if flows are altered beyond the range of tolerance of native species.

The Colorado River Region has a wealth of natural resources in its streams, springs, and riparian areas. With the exception of the Bill Williams River, water demands of the environment in this region are not well understood. Where known, various environmental flow demands, such as species-specific water demands, can be compared with current conditions to identify areas needing protection or restoration.

Information available in the region on the relationships between components of flow and biological factors can be used for considering potential impacts of future water decisions. These pages present a brief overview of the information available for the Colorado River Region; more detailed information to help inform planning efforts throughout this region is available by contacting the WRRC.

How you can apply this information
Those working to address the demands of all water sectors in Arizona can apply this information to:

- Determine how environmental flows interact with other demand sectors regionally,
- Identify factors putting environmental flow demands at risk,
- Identify studies needed to address key information gaps about environmental flows,
- Determine local priorities for ecosystems, and then identify water needed to preserve or restore those,
- Develop scenario analyses for water planning that incorporate the environment, and
- Share the information widely to increase understanding of regional resources and challenges.

Contact Info
For assistance applying information about environmental water uses and needs in water planning, questions about methods used to create this bulletin or requests for our environmental water demand data please contact:

Kelly Mott Lacroix
Email: klacroix@email.arizona.edu
Phone: (520) 621-3826

The WRRC offers public presentations about this information as well as direct support for water planning processes as part of our Connecting the Environment to Arizona Water Planning (EnWaP) project.

wrrc.arizona.edu
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