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Disclaimer

The views presented here are the personal views of the author and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Fennemore Craig, the attorneys of Fennemore Craig, or the clients of Fennemore Craig, all of whom reserve the right to disavow any and all of this presentation.
Overview

- Industry concerns about water supply acquisition
- Existing mechanisms for proving water supply work, but . . .
- Possible shift in responsibility for acquiring water supplies
Some Caveats

- Focus on AMAs
- Varied perspectives within industry
- Varied willingness to engage
Current Challenges

- Industry in recovery mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Single-Family Building Permits – Greater Phoenix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-- 31,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-- 12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-- 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>-- 6,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>-- 6,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>-- 11,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>-- 12,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current Challenges

• ‘Bottom line’ impact of water supply development efforts
  – Amount of fees
  – Transparency and accuracy of fee development
  – Possible duplication with CAGRD

• Regulatory requirements
  – Proven impact
  – Local control = inconsistencies
Current Challenges (cont.)

- **Assured Water Supply**
  - At present, new development can proceed under this program
  - Groundwater physical availability will likely be an issue
    - model sophistication
    - model assumptions
Figure 18. Scenario 1 - Depth to Water (DTW) of Layer 3 for the year 2108.
Figure 31. Scenario 4 - Depth to Water (DTW) of Layer 3 for the year 2108.
Planning for Future

• “Bring your own water”
  – No strong movement towards acquiring water supplies
  – Traditionally, a role of government
  – Continued reliance on municipal providers and CAGRD
  – Groundwater availability questions may change attitude

• Some examples of exceptions
  – Anthem (lack of groundwater)
  – North Scottsdale golf courses (municipal req’t)
  – Recharge efforts (conservation req’ts)
The regulatory hurdles

- Complexity of market in AZ water rights
- Use and transfer of water is heavily regulated to the point where obtaining new supplies for growth faces substantial hurdles
The maze – the Colorado River water rights example

- Acquisition generally requires:
  - Willing seller
  - Approval of the irrigation district from which the water will be moved from
  - Approval of the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) in consultation with ADWR
    - Triggers environmental compliance
  - If supplies are to move through the CAP, a wheeling agreement is needed with CAWCD and BOR
The maze – the groundwater example

- Transportation restrictions effectively lock up substantial supplies
  - Exemptions are narrow: McMullen, Harquahala, Butler, Chino but to specific entities
  - Mining

- Groundwater within or across AMAs difficult to move because of a variety of restrictions
  - Service area rights; appurtenancy; type of right; AMA-specific
If focus shifts to private acquisition of water supplies:

- Increased competition for water supplies
- Possible locking up of supplies well in advance of need. Do we want to go down that road again?
- Expensive time-consuming process that locks out smaller players
Questions